

Sex-specific differences in familial networks, dispersal and reproductive success are driven by heterogeneity in density

Samantha McFarlane^{1, 2}, Micheline Manseau^{1, 2*}, Teri Jones², Darren Pouliot², Gabriela Mastromonaco³, Gigi Pittoello⁴, Paul J. Wilson¹

¹Environmental and Life Sciences Department, Trent University, Canada, ²Landscape Science and Technology Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Canada, ³Reproductive Sciences Unit, Toronto Zoo, Canada, ⁴Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment, Canada

Submitted to Journal: Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

Specialty Section: Population, Community, and Ecosystem Dynamics

Article type: Original Research Article

Manuscript ID: 956834

Received on: 30 May 2022

Journal website link: www.frontiersin.org



Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

Author contribution statement

MM and GP conceived and designed the study. SM implemented the analysis and wrote the manuscript with help from MM and TJ. PW coordinated DNA extraction and analysis. DP coordinated and analyzed the spatial density covariate data. GM coordinated hormone extraction and analysis. All authors contributed to subsequent drafts and gave final approval for publication.

Keywords

density dependence, Dispersal, Familial networks, Individual fitness, pedigree, Rangifer tarandus

Abstract

Word count: 217

Density is an important demographic parameter that is commonly overlooked in studies of wild populations. We examined a range of spatially explicit demographic parameters in a wild population of a cryptic ungulate, boreal woodland caribou (Rangifer tarandus caribou). Using noninvasive genetic sampling, we applied spatial capture-recapture methods with covariates to estimate density of boreal woodland caribou across a 1008006 km2 study area. We then created a familial network from reconstructed parent-offspring relationships and determined whether spatial density influenced sex-specific dispersal distance, individual reproductive success, and female pregnancy status. We find that density varied greatly, leading to variation in dispersal distances, with offspring moving shorter distances when parents were found in higher density areas. Familial networks showed lower closeness centrality and lower degree centrality for males in higher density areas, indicating that females found in higher density areas tend to be less broadly associated to animals across the range. Density significantly affected both male and female reproductive success, with males showing positive density dependence, and females showing negative density areas do reflect good quality caribou habitat, the observed decreased closeness and degree centrality measures, dispersal rates and lower female recruitment rates suggest that remnant habitat patches may trigger positive feedbacks.

Contribution to the field

Habitat quality and disturbance can strongly influence a species' distribution, population dynamics and spatial population density. It is therefore critical to understand how density can lead to variability in demographic responses, but measuring these parameters in wide-ranging populations is extremely difficult. To overcome this, we used a highly comprehensive genetic dataset in new and inventive ways, outside the realm of how they are typically applied. We used this genetic dataset along with spatial capture-recapture (SCR) modelling and spatial network analyses to better understand how density influences population dynamics. We estimated spatial density of boreal caribou, then reconstructed parent-offspring relationships to create a familial network, and determined whether spatial density influenced sex-specific network centrality, dispersal distance, individual reproductive success, and pregnancy status. We show that caribou density varied greatly, primarily affected by landscape composition and fragmentation, and had sex-specific influences on dispersal distance, reproductive success, and network centrality. High-density areas reflected good-quality caribou habitat, and the decreased dispersal rates and female reproductive output suggest that these remnant habitat patches may be influencing demographic responses of caribou. These results are groundbreaking and transformative, greatly advancing our understanding of the species ecology and conservation, and introduce new analytical methods to the research field.

Funding statement

Government of Saskatchewan; Environment and Climate Change Canada; NSERC Collaborative 652 Research & Development; Saskatchewan Power, Weyerhaeuser.

Ethics statements

Studies involving animal subjects

Generated Statement: Ethical review and approval was not required for the animal study because Non-invasively collected DNA samples with no handling or contact with animals.

Studies involving human subjects

Generated Statement: No human studies are presented in this manuscript.

Inclusion of identifiable human data

Generated Statement: No potentially identifiable human images or data is presented in this study.

Data availability statement

Generated Statement: The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.19905142.



Sex-specific differences in familial networks, dispersal and reproductive success are driven by heterogeneity in density

- Samantha McFarlane^{1,2}, Micheline Manseau^{1,2*}, Teri B. Jones², Darren Pouliot², Gabriela
 Mastromonaco³, Gigi Pittoello⁴, Paul J. Wilson¹
- ³ ¹Environmental and Life Sciences Department, Trent University, Peterborough, Ontario, Canada
- ⁴ ²Landscape Science and Technology Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Ottawa,
- 5 Ontario, Canada
- ⁶ ³Reproductive Sciences Unit, Toronto Zoo, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- 7 ⁴Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada
- 8 * Correspondence:
- 9 Micheline Manseau
- 10 micheline.manseau@ec.gc.ca
- 11 Keywords: density dependence, dispersal, familial networks, individual fitness, pedigree,
- 12 Rangifer tarandus
- 13 Abstract

14 Density is an important demographic parameter that is commonly overlooked in studies of wild 15 populations. We examined a range of spatially explicit demographic parameters in a wild population of a cryptic ungulate, boreal woodland caribou (Rangifer tarandus caribou). Using noninvasive 16 17 genetic sampling, we applied spatial capture-recapture methods with covariates to estimate density of boreal woodland caribou across a 108 806 km² study area. We then created a familial network from 18 19 reconstructed parent-offspring relationships and determined whether spatial density influenced sex-20 specific dispersal distance, individual reproductive success, and female pregnancy status. We find 21 that density varied greatly in response to land cover types and disturbance, leading to variation in 22 dispersal distances, with offspring moving shorter distances when parents were found in higher 23 density areas. Familial networks showed lower closeness centrality and lower degree centrality for 24 males in higher density areas, indicating that females found in higher density areas tend to be less 25 broadly associated to animals across the range. Density significantly affected both male and female 26 reproductive success, with males showing positive density dependence, and females showing 27 negative density dependence. No differences were found in female pregnancy rates occurring in high-28 and low-density areas. Although high density areas do reflect good quality caribou habitat, the 29 observed decreased closeness and degree centrality measures, dispersal rates and lower female

30 recruitment rates suggest that remnant habitat patches may trigger positive feedbacks.

31 **1 Introduction**

- 32 Demographic parameters of wild populations, including dispersal (Travis et al., 1999; Matthysen,
- 33 2005), kinship (De Bona et al., 2019), and reproductive success (e.g. McLoughlin et al., 2006; Hamel
- 34 et al., 2009) vary with population density. While this has been shown using population-based metrics

- 35 (Fowler, 1987), individual heterogeneity in demographic rates in response to population density is
- 36 less well documented. Predicting population-level response to habitat change, for instance, will
- benefit from knowing how individual demographic rates vary as populations change. As part of a
- 38 study to explore the spatial structure of familial networks in boreal woodland caribou (McFarlane et
- al., 2021), we measured individual recruitment and dispersal rates across a population density
- 40 gradient driven by changes in habitat quality and quantity. This enabled us to address the question of
- 41 whether boreal woodland caribou recruitment and dispersal are density-dependent.

42 In most species, density is heterogeneous across their range, reflecting patterns resulting from an

- 43 individual's selective use of habitat resources to maximize fitness (Morris, 2003), and responses to
- 44 habitat disturbance (Tischendorf et al., 2005). Variation in habitat quality can strongly influence a
- 45 species' distribution, affecting population density by altering resource abundance and habitat
- 46 structure, and influencing movement or landscape connectivity (Łomnicki, 1980; Smith et al., 2016).
- 47 Habitat fragmentation can increase or decrease local population density in remnant habitat patches,
- 48 shifting the balance between emigration and immigration (Bowers and Matter, 1997; Tischendorf et
- 49 al., 2005).
- 50 Density dependence occurs when a population parameter (most often population dynamics such as
- 51 population growth rate, vital rates, and reproduction) varies as a result of density (Hixon and
- 52 Johnson, 2009). Density-dependent demographic parameters have been observed in numerous large
- 53 mammal species, with reproduction and growth rate typically decreasing with increased density
- 54 (Fowler, 1987). In cases where density influences mean vital rates, absolute and relative reproductive
- 55 success of individuals in the population can change with population size or density, with absolute
- 56 reproductive success and population growth rate decreasing with increasing population size (Sæther
- and Engen, 2015). For example, McLoughlin et al. (2006) found that lifetime reproductive success of
 female red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) was inversely associated with local density, and reproductive
- female red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) was inversely associated with local density, and re
 benefits from selecting good-quality habitat decreased as density increased.
- 57 benefits from selecting good-quarty habitat decreased as density increased.
- 60 Understanding and accounting for individual heterogeneity in reproductive success is fundamental to
- 61 make inferences about ecological patterns and processes, bearing in mind that inherent reproductive
- 62 differences among individuals can lead to incorrect interpretations (Weladji et al., 2008; Badger et
- 63 al., 2020). Genetic parentage data can provide detailed information on individual-based reproductive
- 64 success of wild populations, allowing for a wider look at reproduction, and can include measures of 65 reproductive success and recruitment for individuals who are not directly sampled (McFarlane et al.,
- 66 2018). Individual differences in reproductive success are especially pronounced in long-lived species
- 67 (Clutton-Brock and Sheldon, 2010), and this variation can have important consequences for
- 68 population dynamics and demography (Clutton-Brock, 1988; Newton, 1989; Clutton-Brock and
- 69 Sheldon, 2010). Accurately assessing and accounting for individual variation in reproductive success
- 70 and recruitment is critical for improving our understanding of mating systems and sexual selection
- 71 (Bowler and Benton, 2005).
- 72 There is growing evidence that dispersal is a highly heterogeneous process (Bowler and Benton,
- 73 2005; Fronhofer et al., 2018), dependent on multiple factors such as resource availability (Aguillon
- and Duckworth, 2015), predation risk (Bestion et al., 2014), or density (Matthysen, 2005; Bitume et
- al., 2013). Density-dependent dispersal is common across many taxa and can be positive or negative
- 76 depending on the driving mechanism (Matthysen, 2005). Positive density-dependent dispersal can be
- a result of local competition increasing the likelihood of individuals dispersing to improve fitness
- 78 prospects by leaving high-density areas (Travis et al., 1999; Matthysen, 2005), while negative
- 79 density-dependent dispersal can result from high densities reducing dispersal probability due to

- 80 increased likelihood of aggressive encounters (Matthysen, 2005). Most studies of density-dependent
- 81 dispersal predict that high patch density should result in increased dispersal (positive density
- 82 dependence; Travis, 1999; Poethke and Hovestadt, 2002; Leturque and Rousset, 2003), with few
- 83 studies predicting that high patch density will result in decreased dispersal rates (negative density
- 84 dependence; Matthysen, 2005).

85 Boreal woodland caribou (Rangifer tarandus caribou, hereafter referred to as boreal caribou) in Saskatchewan, Canada provide an ideal system for testing demographic density responses hypotheses 86 87 as they exhibit relatively small-scale movements and occur over large areas with no discrete 88 populations (Ball et al., 2010; Priadka et al., 2019; McFarlane et al., 2021). They select large tracks 89 of mature to old-growth coniferous forests that provide abundant lichens, or wetlands mixed with 90 upland areas, and avoid early-stage, successional deciduous forests (Stuart-Smith et al., 1997; 91 Environment Canada, 2012). Habitat alteration through anthropogenic disturbance generates indirect 92 habitat loss for boreal caribou through the conversion of old-growth coniferous forests to early-stage 93 deciduous forests (Polfus et al., 2011; Rudolph et al., 2017). Roads and linear features facilitate 94 predator movement, affecting calf and adult survival (Dussault et al., 2012; Leblond et al., 2013). To 95 investigate these factors on density dependence, we first used noninvasive genetic sampling and 96 spatial capture-recapture (SCR) modeling to generate a spatially-explicit density raster layer. We 97 constructed familial networks and calculated individual recruitment and dispersal rates along with a 98 range of networks metrics to assess the contribution of individual boreal caribou to the population 99 (McFarlane et al., 2021, Jones and Manseau 2022). We have previously shown spatial variation in 100 individual measures of network centrality across Saskatchewan, with animals in the southern part of 101 their range presenting higher numbers of parent-offspring relationships, along with connections to 102 other highly connected individuals (McFarlane et al., 2021). We extend these analyses to examine the

- relationship between familial network metrics and density, a novel approach to testing the effects ofdensity variation on population demographics.
- 104 density variation on population demographics.

105 Our hypothesis is that boreal caribou exhibit density dependence, with the spatial variation in density 106 leading to significant heterogeneity in demographic responses (Figure 1). In ungulate populations,

- density dependence is observed through changes in vital rates, such that increased population density
- results in decreased female reproductive success through decreased survival of young, reduced
- 109 pregnancy rate, and increased age of first reproduction (Gaillard et al., 2000; Bowyer et al., 2014).
- 110 However, increased density can lead to increased reproductive success for males due to the greater
- 111 number of available females, leading to greater individual variation in male reproductive success
- 112 (McCullough, 1999). We predicted female reproductive success and pregnancy status would be
- 113 negatively correlated with density, and male reproductive success would be positively correlated with
- 114 density. Boreal caribou pregnancy rate was also measured from each sampled female to assess
- whether the lower reproductive success predicted in higher density areas corresponds to lower
- 116 pregnancy rates, suggesting females were not in good body condition (Gerhart et al., 1996; Stewart et
- al., 2005) or a larger proportion of non-reproductive females (younger/older) in these areas.
- 118 We predicted that dispersal would be negatively correlated with density for both sexes, with a
- 119 stronger influence on dispersal in females, as female ungulates typically disperse less than males.
- 120 Little is known about sex-specific dispersal in boreal caribou; radio-telemetry collaring is the most
- 121 commonly used method of estimating movement of caribou and males are seldom collared (e.g. van
- 122 Oort et al., 2011). These predictions have seldom been tested in wild populations of long-lived
- 123 mammal species, but the increasing availability of genetic data and advanced analytical methods are
- 124 providing a unique opportunity to uncover fine scale population demographic responses to landscape
- 125 conditions.

126 **2** Methods

127 **2.1 Study area**

128 Data were collected from boreal caribou across the boreal plains ecozone in Saskatchewan, Canada

129 (Figure S1.1). The boreal plains are characterized by mixed-wood forests, lakes, and large areas of

130 low-lying peatlands (Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment, 2019). Boreal caribou are part of the

boreal caribou Designatable Unit (DU6) (COSEWIC, 2011) and are listed as *Threatened* under the

- 132 Canadian *Species at Risk Act* (SARA) and are listed as *Vulnerable* in Saskatchewan (SKCDC, 2020).
- 133 Due to relatively high levels of anthropogenic disturbance, boreal caribou populations in
- 134 Saskatchewan's boreal plains are at a higher risk of decline and potential extirpation due to range
- retraction at the southern margin of the boreal caribou range (Arsenault, 2003). See Appendix 1 for
- 136 more details on the study area.

137 **2.2 Data collection and analysis**

138 Two surveys per year were conducted to collect fecal pellets for spatial capture-recapture analysis,

139 with sampling occasions spaced approximately one month apart. Following the aerial survey protocol

outlined in Hettinga *et al.* (2012), aerial transects were systematically flown at 3 km intervals across

each survey area using rotary- or fixed-wing aircraft, or a combination of both, to locate boreal

142 caribou feeding locations. Additional samples were collected across the boreal plains for population

143 genetic surveys between 2013 and 2016 (Priadka et al., 2019). All samples were kept frozen at -20° C

144 until DNA extraction was performed. We followed the DNA extraction protocol outlined by Ball et

al. (2007) to generate individual-specific genetic profiles. To generate familial pedigree networks, we

amplified DNA samples at 15 variable microsatellite loci (McFarlane et al., 2021).

147 2.3 Demographic data

148 **2.3.1 Density**

149 We used SCR models to estimate the spatial density of boreal caribou in the Saskatchewan boreal plain. We created a multi-session SCR model with one session for each survey area (SK West, SK 150 151 Central, and SK East) to obtain density estimates across survey areas. We used a maximum 152 likelihood approach implemented in the R package secr (Efford, 2018; R Core Team, 2019). The 153 density model allows for the input of spatial covariates to create a heterogeneous density surface 154 across the study area. We used three groups of spatial covariates to investigate the factors that affect population density and to obtain a spatially explicit density surface: habitat (good- and poor-quality), 155 156 distance to anthropogenic disturbance (roads or linear features), and habitat potential (Appendix 1). 157 Habitat data were extracted from the 2015 Land Cover of Canada dataset at 30 m resolution (Natural 158 Resources Canada, 2020). Based on results from resource selection analyses (Boyce and McDonald, 159 1999; Manly et al., 2002), we considered needleleaf forests as good-quality habitat, deciduous and 160 mixed-wood forests (hereafter referred to as deciduous) and grasses and shrubs as poor-quality 161 habitat. The linear feature layer included roads, railways, trails, seismic lines, protection structures 162 (dike, levees, etc.), pipelines, and power lines. We tested the effects of linear features by creating a distance to roads variable and a distance to all linear features variable. Finally, we used ranked boreal 163 164 caribou habitat potential data to account for an ecosite's potential to provide forage, refuge and 165 calving habitat. Ecosites were ranked by a panel of biologists with expertise on boreal caribou habitat use in Saskatchewan (Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment, 2019). We resampled all spatial 166 covariates to 1 km² spatial resolution, with each grid cell representing the fraction of the original 30 167 m for the selected class or classes. We then calculated the median proportion of each land cover type 168

- and habitat potential within a 12 km radius of each detection location, based on the larger of the
- 170 estimated home range radii for females (~12 km) and males (~6 km) calculated from the SK Central
- 171 population density estimation without any covariates (S. McFarlane, unpublished data). See
- 172 Appendix 2 for more information on density modeling and R code.
- 173 We used the relationships between density and covariates from the top-ranked density model to
- 174 create a density surface for each of the survey areas and applied these density-covariate relationships
- across the boreal plains. We constrained this to just outside of the boreal plains ecozone as an
- ecological unit with distinct biotic and abiotic features (Marshall et al., 1999). We calculated the
- 177 median population density (per ha) within a 12 km radius of each sampling location using the same
- 178 method as mentioned above for the spatial covariates. The resulting density surface was used to
- 179 estimate the influence of density on sex-specific network metrics, dispersal distance, individual
- 180 reproductive success, and female pregnancy status.

181 2.3.2 Reconstruction of familial relationships

182 We identified boreal caribou families by reconstructing parent-offspring relationships using COLONY v2.0.6.5 (Jones and Wang, 2010). COLONY uses a full-likelihood method for sibship 183 184 inference and parentage assignment, assigning all sampled offspring to hypothetical maternal and 185 paternal families (Wang, 2004). Input parameters were set to allow for female and male polygynous 186 mating systems without inbreeding avoidance, and the probability of mothers or fathers being present in the sampled data set was set to 50% in the absence of other prior information. COLONY infers 187 188 parental genotypes for missing parents; inferred parents are genotypes that are not included in the 189 candidate parent samples, either because that individual was not captured during sampling, or that 190 parent was no longer alive when sampling occurred, resulting in a family network with more 191 individuals than were sampled. We used Cytoscape v3.7.2 (Shannon et al., 2003) to create a familial 192 network from the reconstructed parent-offspring relationships identified by COLONY. Each 193 individual has their parents and offspring identified by COLONY enabling a network to be created 194 from the multigenerational relationships among individuals. As boreal caribou mating systems are 195 polygamous, a dense and complicated network is created; node-based measures of network centrality 196 allow for easier identification of patterns and trends within the network. We confirmed the direction of all parent-offspring dyads by comparing them to the full sibling and parent pair outputs provided 197 198 by COLONY.

199 2.3.3 Network centrality

200 We used the protocol outlined by McFarlane et al. (2021) to identify individuals that are central to 201 the network, with the R package CINNA (Ashtiani et al., 2018) to determine individual node-based 202 network centrality. Nodes represent individuals while edges represent parent to offspring 203 relationships. We quantified distinct aspects of network centrality using three node-based centrality 204 measures: alpha, closeness, and degree centrality. Alpha centrality identifies individuals that are 205 connected to other highly connected individuals, indicating indirect reproductive success, even for 206 those with few direct connections (McFarlane et al., 2021). Reproductive output can be highly 207 variable and asymmetrical (McFarlane et al., 2018) and alpha centrality can indicate if an individual 208 is part of a large extended family and if they are connected to highly connected individuals. Degree 209 centrality represents the number of edges connected to a node; in familial networks, the in-degree 210 represents the parents of an individual and the out-degree is the number of offspring associated with that individual (Harary, 1969; McFarlane et al., 2021). Closeness centrality has commonly been used 211 212 to measure how fast information can spread from a given node to all other reachable nodes in a 213 network (Latora and Marchiori, 2001). In familial networks, individuals with higher closeness

- 214 centrality come either from more connected families, or larger families with more generations
- 215 captured during sampling.

216 **2.4 Analyses**

217 **2.4.1 Familial network and density**

218 We ran sex-specific generalized linear models (GLMs) to determine the influence of density on the 219 three individual network centrality measures using a Gaussian error structure. Each centrality 220 measure was standardized between 0 and 1. To validate the familial network centrality measures used 221 in these models (due to the lack of independence of data points present in network data), 10,000 222 random familial networks with a burn-in of 1,000 networks were generated using permutations 223 (Jones and Manseau, 2022). Each network was created by swapping mothers or fathers and swapping 224 offspring. As with the real data, each individual's network metrics were recalculated using these 225 permuted networks. All global models were recalculated for each of the 10,000 permuted networks. 226 The sizes of the coefficients were then compared to the size of the global models based on the 227 original data. A p-value was calculated as the proportion of times the observed value was more 228 extreme than the permutated values (Farine, 2013). A network centrality measure effect was

- 229 considered significant if this p-value was < 0.05 and the coefficients' confidence interval did not
- 230 include zero.

231 **2.4.2 Dispersal and density**

232 To test for density-dependent dispersal, we ran sex-specific GLMs with a Gaussian error distribution

- to determine the influence of density at the parental site on offspring dispersal. Dispersal events can
- be inferred when parents and offspring relationships, reconstructed through sibship assignment, are
- found in different locations (e.g. Norman and Spong, 2015; Fountain et al., 2017). Although the
- actual dispersal route cannot be determined, recent gene flow and movement among locations can be inferred, and applying this method to many pairs of relationships, the population's dispersal patterns
- can be estimated (Escoda et al., 2017). We defined dispersal as the movement of offspring away from
- their natal source (Nathan, 2005), defining the natal source as the location of the parent. We
- calculated Euclidean dispersal distances in the R package *geosphere* (Hijmans, 2019) for all parent-
- 241 offspring dyads and calculated the difference between the minimum and maximum dispersal
- 242 distances of parent-offspring dyads (Figure S2.1). As we calculated the median population density
- within the estimated home range (12 km), and most dyads (93%; Figure S2.1) had a range of
- 244 dispersal distances within 0-20 km, we used the maximum dispersal distance between the parent-
- 245 offspring dyads to represent offspring dispersal.

246 2.4.3 Recruitment, pregnancy and density

- 247 We ran sex-specific binomial GLMs to determine the influence of density on the probability of
- 248 female and male boreal caribou having offspring using a binary classification of individual
- 249 reproductive success (did or did not have offspring) calculated from the reconstructed parent-
- 250 offspring relationships. Following the protocol outlined by Flasko *et al.* (2017), 460 samples were
- 251 measured for fecal pregnane concentrations to determine yearly female pregnancy status of 363
- 252 female caribou, using a threshold of 700 ng/g dry mass (Table S5.1). We ran binomial GLMs to
- 253 determine the influence of density on female pregnancy status.
- 254 **3 Results**

- A total of 2616 samples were collected and 2331 were successfully scored (average success rate of
- 256 92.1%), resulting in the identification of 762 unique individuals (Table 1). 455 females, 298 males,
- and 9 individuals of unknown sex were identified. Overall, the average allele dropout rate was
- 258 0.0027% and the average false allele rate was 0.01%.

259 **3.1 Density estimation**

- 260 Recaptures, including within-occasion recaptures, were sufficient in all three study areas (Table 2).
- 261 Using a multi-session SCR model allowed us to increase the number of captures and recaptures used
- in the overall density model (Table 2). 282 individual caribou were included in the multi-session
- SCR model with a total of 404 detections at 96 unique locations (Table 2). Median spatial recapture
- distances varied from 3.4 km in SK Central to 7.6 km in SK East (Figure S2.2).
- 265 Our results suggest that boreal caribou densities are low overall and animals are spatially clustered,
- with error below the 20% relative standard error threshold in all study areas (RSE = 11.5 13.9%),
- suggesting reasonably precise estimates. The top density model included good-quality habitat, a
- negative effect of poor-quality habitat, and a positive effect with greater distance to roads (Table
- 269 S2.1, Figure 2). Although density was low overall (maximum density of 270 boreal caribou per 1,000 1000 km²) there may substantial mainting in the dist ill size of 1 minutes and 1 minutes of 270 boreal caribou per 1,000 1000
- km²), there was substantial variation in the distribution of lower- and higher-density areas (Figure 3).
 Distance to roads was a large contributor to the high variation in spatial density; large undisturbed
- Distance to roads was a large contributor to the high variation in spatial density; large undisturbed areas far away from roads had the highest boreal caribou densities (Figures 2, 3). Poor-quality habitat
- was also a large driver of boreal caribou density, with density dropping to 0 in areas with >20% poor
- 274 quality (deciduous) cover.

275 3.2 Familial network analysis

Pedigree reconstruction inferred an additional 227 females and 231 males, for a total familial network of 1220 individuals (Figure S3.1). 305 females and 270 males were identified as parents (47% of all individuals). Comparison of the observed network to the 10,000 permuted networks indicated that females from lower density areas have a higher closeness centrality and higher degree centrality than

280 expected compared to a null of random familial relationships (Figure S4.1, Table 3), indicating that

- females found in lower density areas tend to be more broadly associated to animals across the range.
 Conversely, we observed no pattern in degree and closeness centrality in response to density for
- males, and no pattern in alpha centrality in response to density for either males or females. This
- highlights that males network position is not influenced by density, and alpha centrality is not
- 285 influenced by density for either sex.

286 3.3 Dispersal and density

287 In cases where an offspring was assigned a sampled mother or father, it was possible to infer

- effective offspring dispersal distance. Boreal caribou offspring disperse primarily within short
- distances of their parents; 86% of offspring dispersal events were < 40 km (Figure 4a). The largest dispersal disper
- dispersal distances were between fathers and their offspring (Figure 4b). The maximum dispersal distance between fathers and their offspring was 232 km with a mean of 22 km (\pm 32 SD), while the
- distance between fatners and their offspring was 232 km with a mean of 22 km (\pm 32 SD), while the maximum dispersal distance between mothers and their offspring was 152 km with a mean of 20 km
- $(\pm 25 \text{ SD})$. There were 35 parent-offspring pairs where both the parent and offspring were only
- 294 located together, with 49% of these being mother-daughter pairs.
- 295 Density at the parent location had no significant effect on dispersal when accounting for parental sex; 296 however, a negative trend was identified for mothers and their offspring (p = 0.068, Table 3). When

- 297 accounting for the sex of the dispersing offspring, dispersal distance of male offspring was
- significantly and inversely related to parental density (Table S3.1, Figure 4b), while dispersal of
- 299 female offspring from their parents was not significantly related to parental density (Table S3.1).
- 300 Further investigation into sex differences in dispersal indicated that dispersal distance between
- 301 mothers and male offspring was also significant (Table S3.2), corresponding to the significant results
- 302 for male offspring and the trend identified for mothers.

303 3.4 Recruitment, pregnancy and density

304 We identified large reproductive skew in both sexes, with 87% of sampled males and 83% of

- 305 sampled females not successfully producing calves that survived until fall. Pregnancy rates were
- 306 substantially higher than the proportion of individuals who successfully reproduced; yearly female
- 307 pregnancy rate ranged from 0.71 to 0.87, with an overall pregnancy rate of 0.78 (Table S5.1).
- 308 Density significantly affected the reproductive success of both females and males (female p = 0.043,
- male p = 0.038; Table 3) but affected the sexes differently. For females, the predicted probability of
- 310 successfully having offspring was highest at lower densities, while for males it was highest at high
- densities (Figure S3.2). Density did not significantly affect the pregnancy status of females (Table 3).

312 **4 Discussion**

- We used network analyses to measure density-dependent demographic responses in a large mammal population. As predicted, we detected density-dependent demographic responses in female and male
- boreal caribou and the use of familial networks allowed us to measure how density influences both
- direct and indirect reproductive success within a population. We found that boreal caribou density at
- the natal site affected male, but not female, offspring dispersal distance and reproductive success of
- both males and females. Our results demonstrate that the influence of density varies between sexes and by the association between animals, i.e. centrality, within the entire population (Table 3). The
- 319 and by the association between animals, i.e. centrality, within the entire population (Table 3). The 320 significantly higher network centrality observed for females from low density areas indicates that not
- 321 only is the direct reproductive success of females in low density areas higher, but that they are also
- 321 only is the direct reproductive success of females in low density areas nigher, but that they are als 322 connected to family lines (i.e. parents or offspring) who also have higher reproductive success
- 323 compounding this effect.
- 324 Integrating familial network metrics allowed us to better capture complex demographic processes 325 that may vary with density. By building a familial network from parent-offspring relationships, we 326 were able to account for the contribution of non-reproducing individuals in the overall demographic 327 structure of the population and assess how density dependence affects reproductive success. Boreal 328 caribou mate polygynously, with mating occurring in loose harems (Thomas and Kiliaan, 1989), and 329 males defending access to mates such that reproductive success of males is dominated by larger and 330 older individuals (Hirotani, 1994). Familial network analyses indicated that only 13% of sampled 331 males successfully sired a calf that survived until fall. Because the males with the highest 332 reproductive success were found in higher-density areas, reproductive output was dominated by 333 relatively few males. It may be beneficial for subordinate males to remain within these higher-density 334 areas, remaining with a female group and waiting for the sexual activity of dominant males to wane, 335 or for the possibility of gaining the dominant position and the associated reproductive benefits (Røed 336 et al., 2002). At low densities, males may be more efficient at defending harems due to less 337 competition from other males, leading to lower overall reproductive success in lower-density areas.
- 338 The reproductive success observed for females was low and varied with density. Our pregnancy
- results indicated that the overall pregnancy rate was 81%, yet our familial network analysis found

- that only 17% of sampled females successfully reproduced a calf that survived until fall, indicating
- 341 that calf mortality is high and is a contributing factor in the low successful reproduction rate.
- Although some of the non-reproducing females may be subadults not yet capable of breeding, this
- 343 likely would not account for a large proportion of the population. Calf survival in caribou is low,
- with calf loss to predation highest during the first month of life (Stuart-Smith et al., 1997, Pinard et al., 2012); predation by black bears (*Ursus americanus*) and wolves (*Canis lupus*) can account for
- more than half of calf mortalities (*Prius americanus*) and worves (*Canis tupus*) can account for 346
- density-dependent, as calf survival was greater in lower density populations, similar to what has been
- 348 observed in a few other studies (Toïgo et al., 2002; McLoughlin et al., 2006). Alpine ibex (*Capra*
- 349 *ibex*) show greater variance of female reproductive success in a density-dependent population than a
- 350 population with no density dependence (Toïgo et al., 2002), and the lifetime reproductive success of
- 351 female red deer was inversely density-dependent (McLoughlin et al., 2006).
- 352 There may be marked differences in individual reproductive quality among females (Byers, 1997;
- 353 Weladji et al., 2006; Weladji et al., 2008; Hamel et al., 2009), which may be exacerbated by
- 354 population density (Bonenfant et al., 2009). Weladji et al. (2008) showed that female reindeer
- 355 (*Rangifer tarandus*) that were successful breeders had higher subsequent reproductive success than
- non-breeders and unsuccessful breeders, and Weladji et al. (2006) showed that larger and older
- reindeer had higher lifetime reproductive success, possibly due to a higher social rank or ability to
- 358 minimize high mortality risks. In pronghorns (*Antilocapra americana*), experienced breeding females 359 had lower calf losses to predation (Byers, 1997), and in a longitudinal study of mountain goats
- had lower calf losses to predation (Byers, 1997), and in a longitudinal study of mountain goats
 (*Oreannos americanus*), bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis*) and roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*),
- 361 higher quality females all had a higher probability of reproduction in all three species (Hamel et al.,
- 362 2009). Network analysis can help identify both density-dependent and independent factors that affect
- 363 female reproductive success leading to an overall better understanding of population dynamics. Our
- 364 study is the first to assess how centrality within the familial network vary with density in a wild
- 365 population.

366 No other studies have assessed ecological and demographic drivers of dispersal in boreal caribou.

- 367 Measuring dispersal in ungulate populations can be difficult due to long dispersal distances, sex-
- biased behaviours, differences in detection probability of dispersers and non-dispersers, and the
- 369 multiple interactions (Moore et al., 2014). Here we successfully used network analyses to better 370 understand what drives sex-specific dispersal. SCR modeling and the construction of familial
- understand what drives sex-specific dispersal. SCR modeling and the construction of familial
 networks from noninvasive genetic sampling allowed us to overcome some of these challenges. We
- found that male offspring stayed closer to their mothers when the mother was located in a high-
- density area (Table S3.2, Figure S3.2). Because boreal caribou are dispersing shorter distances at
- higher densities, and boreal caribou density was positively related to high-quality habitat availability
- and negatively correlated with distance to roads, individuals may become trapped within remnant
- patches of suitable habitat that can support higher densities. This suggests that anthropogenic
- disturbance can disrupt natal dispersal patterns, turning source populations into fragmented sinks
 (Fattebert et al., 2015). These results further emphasize the impact of landscape fragmentation on
- 379 population demography and the importance for some species to maintain low population densities
- 380 with high spatial connectivity throughout their range. Variation in natal dispersal has been linked to
- 381 population density and group characteristics in several species, including feral horses (*Equus ferus*
- 382 *caballus*, Marjamäki et al., 2013)] and African lions (*Panthera* leo, VanderWaal et al., 2009). In most
- polygynous mammal species, males are the dispersing sex while females are philopatric (Greenwood,
- 384 1980; Wolff, 1997).

- 385 Boreal caribou density is influenced by landscape composition and fragmentation, resulting in
- 386 patches of higher density that lead to individual heterogeneity in demographic responses. Driven, in
- part, by anthropogenic disturbances, density-dependent variation in demographic traits may be
- 388 triggering positive feedback loops as both the reproductive success of females and the dispersal 389 distances of male offspring were lower in higher density areas. Network centrality metrics allowed
- 389 distances of male offspring were lower in higher density areas. Network centrality metrics allowed us 390 to improve the resolution of broad measures of demographic parameters to gain a better
- 391 understanding of the density-dependent demographic processes of boreal caribou populations.
- 392 Demographic processes, such as reproductive success, calf survival and dispersal, can alter the
- 393 network structure among individuals in a population by adding or removing an individual and its
- 394 connections (Shizuka and Johnson, 2020). To our knowledge, this is the first study looking at the
- 395 effects of variable density across the range of a wild ungulate population on individual-level
- 396 measures of reproductive success and dispersal rates.

397 **5** Acknowledgments

398 We would like to thank Bridget Redquest and Austin Thompson at Trent University for the DNA

- extraction and analysis; Sonesinh Keobouasone at Environment and Climate Change Canada for data
- 400 management; Government of Saskatchewan staff and consultants for planning and conducting the
 401 extensive field surveys and handling the samples; staff at the Toronto Zoo for doing the hormone
- 401 extensive field surveys and handling the samples; starf at the Toronto Zoo for doing the normone 402 analysis; Environment and Climate Change Canada for providing the land cover characterization;
- 402 analysis; Environment and Climate Change Canada for providing the land cover characterize
- 403 John Wilmshurst for constructive reviews and editing.

404 6 Authors' contributions

405 MM and GP conceived and designed the study. SM implemented the analysis and wrote the

- 406 manuscript with help from MM and TJ. PW coordinated DNA extraction and analysis. DP
- 407 coordinated and analyzed the spatial density covariate data. GM coordinated hormone extraction and
- 408 analysis. All authors contributed to subsequent drafts and gave final approval for publication.

409 **7 References**

410 Aguillon, S. M., and Duckworth, R.A. (2015). Kin aggression and resource availability influence

- 411 phenotype-dependent dispersal in a passerine bird. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 69, 625–633. doi:
 412 10.1007/s00265-015-1873-5.
- $412 \quad \underline{10.1007/\$00265-015-1873-5.}$
- 413 Arsenault, A. A. (2003). Status and management of wildlife in Saskatchewan, 1999-2001.
- 414 Saskatchewan Environment, Fish and Wildlife Technical Report 2003-1.
- 415 Ashtiani, M., Mirzaie, M., and Jafari, M. (2018). CINNA: An r/CRAN package to decipher central
- 416 informative nodes in network analysis. Bioinformatics 35, 1436–1437. doi:
- 417 <u>10.1093/bioinformatics/bty819</u>.
- 418 Avise, J.C., Jones, A. G., Walker, D., and DeWoody, J. A. (2002). Genetic mating systems and
- 419 reproductive natural histories of fishes: lessons for ecology and evolution. Annu. Rev. Genet. 36, 19420 45. doi: <u>10.1146/annurev.genet.36.030602.090831</u>.

421 Badger, J. J., Bowen, W. D., den Heyer, C. E., and Breed, G. A. (2020). Variation in individual

422 reproductive performance amplified with population size in a long-lived carnivore. Ecology 101,

423 e03024. doi: <u>10.1002/ecy.3024</u>.

- 424 Ball, M. C., Pither, R., Manseau, M., Clark, J., Petersen, S. D., Kingston, S., Morrill, N., and Wilson,
- 425 P. (2007). Characterization of target nuclear DNA from faeces reduces technical issues associated
- 426 with the assumptions of low-quality and quantity template. Conserv. Genet. 8, 577–586. doi:
- 427 <u>10.1007/s10592-006-9193-y</u>.
- 428 Ball, M. C., Finnegan, L., Manseau, M., and Wilson, P. (2010). Integrating multiple analytical
- 429 approaches to spatially delineate and characterize genetic population structure: An application to
- 430 boreal caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*) in central Canada. Conserv. Genet. 11, 2131–2143. doi:
- 431 <u>10.1007/s10592-010-0099-3</u>.
- 432 Bestion, E., Teyssier, A., Aubret, F., Clobert, J., and Cote, J. (2014). Maternal exposure to predator
- scents: Offspring phenotypic adjustment and dispersal. Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 281, 20140701.
 doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.0701.
- 435 Bitume, E. V., Bonte, D., Ronce, O., Bach, F., Flaven, E., Olivieri, I., and Nieberding, C. M. (2013).
- 436 Density and genetic relatedness increase dispersal distance in a subsocial organism. Ecol. Lett. 16,
- 437 430–437. doi: <u>10.1111/ele.12057</u>.
- 438 Bonenfant, C., Gaillard, J. M., Coulson, T., Festa-Bianchet, M., Loison, A., Garel, M., Loe, L. E.,
- Blanchard, P., Pettorelli, N., Owen-Smith, N., Du Toit, J., and Duncan, P. (2009). Empirical evidence
 of density-dependence in populations of large herbivores. Adv. Ecol. Res. 41, 313-357. doi:
- 441 10.1016/S0065-2504(09)00405-X/.
- Bowers, M. A., and Matter, S. F. (1997). Landscape ecology of mammals: Relationships between
 density and patch size. J. Mammal. 78, 999–1013. doi: 10.2307/1383044.
- 444 Bowler, D. E., and Benton, T. G. (2005). Causes and consequences of animal dispersal strategies:
- 445 Relating individual behaviour to spatial dynamics. Biol. Rev. 80, 205–225. doi: 10.1017/s1464703104006645
- 446 <u>10.1017/s1464793104006645</u>.
- Bowyer, R. T., Bleich, V. C., Stewart, K. M., Whiting, J. C., and Monteith, K. L. (2014). Density
 dependence in ungulates: A review of causes, and concepts with some clarifications. Calif. Fish.
 Game. 100, 550-572.
- Boyce, M. S., and McDonald, L. L. (1999). Relating populations to habitats using resource selection
 functions. Trends Ecol. Evol. 14, 268–272. doi: 10.1016/s0169-5347(99)01593-1.
- Byers, J. A. (1997). American pronghorn. Social adaptations and the ghosts of predators past.Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Clutton-Brock, T. H. (1988). "Reproductive success" in Reproductive Success: Studies of Individual
 Variation in Contrasting Breeding Systems, ed. T. H. Clutton-Brock. (University of Chicago Press),
 472-486.
- 457 Clutton-Brock T.H., and Sheldon, B. C. (2010). Individuals and populations: the role of long-term,
- individual-based studies of animals in ecology and evolutionary biology. Trends Ecol. Evol. 25, 562573. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2010.08.002.
- 460 COSEWIC. (2011). Designatable units for caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*) in Canada. Ottawa:
- 461 Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. xi + 88 pp.

- 462 De Bona, S., Bruneaux, M., Lee, A. E. G., Reznick, D. N., Bentzen, P., and López-Sepulcre, A.
- 463 (2019). Spatio-temporal dynamics of density-dependent dispersal during a population colonisation.
 464 Ecol. Lett. 22, 634–644. doi: 10.1111/ele.13205.
- 465 Dussault, C., Pinard, V., Ouellet, J.-P., Courtois, R., and Fortin, D. (2012). Avoidance of roads and
- 466 selection for recent cutovers by threatened caribou: fitness-rewarding or maladaptive behaviour?
- 467 Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 279, 4481-4488. doi: <u>10.1098/rspb.2012.1700</u>.
- Efford, M. G. (2018). Secr: Spatially explicit capture-recapture models. Available from: <
 https://cran.r-project.org/package=secr>.
- 470 Environment Canada. (2012). Recovery strategy for the woodland caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*
- *caribou*), Boreal population, in Canada. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series. Ottawa. xi +
 138 pp.
- 473 Escoda, L., González-Esteban, J., Gómez, A., and Castresana, J. (2017). Using relatedness networks
- 474 to infer contemporary dispersal: Application to the endangered mammal galemys pyrenaicus. Mol.
- 475 Ecol. 26, 3343–3357. doi: <u>10.1111/mec.14133</u>.
- 476 Farine, D. R. (2013). Animal social network inference and permutations for ecologists in R using
 477 *asnipe*. Methods Ecol. Evol. 4, 1187–1194. doi: <u>10.1111/2041-210x.12121</u>.
- Fattebert, J., Balme, G., Dickerson, T., Slotow, R., and Hunter, L. (2015). Density-dependent natal
 dispersal patterns in a leopard population recovering from over-harvest. PLoS ONE 10, e0122355.
 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0122355.
- 481 Flasko, A., Manseau, M., Mastromonaco, G., Bradley, M., Neufeld, L., and Wilson, P. (2017). Fecal
- 482 DNA, hormones, and pellet morphometrics as a noninvasive method to estimate age class: An
- 483 application to wild populations of central mountain and boreal woodland caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*
- 484 *caribou*). Can. J. Zool. 95, 311–321. doi: <u>10.1139/cjz-2016-0070</u>.
- 485 Fountain, T., Husby, A., Nonaka, E., DiLeo, M. F., Korhonen, J. H., Rastas, P., Schulz, T.,
- 486 Saastamoinen, M., and Hanski, I. (2017). Inferring dispersal across a fragmented landscape using
- reconstructed families in the Glanville fritillary butterfly. Evol. Appl. 11, 287–297. doi:
 10.1111/eva.12552.
- Fowler, C. W. (1987). "A review of density dependence in populations of large mammals" in Current
 mammalogy, ed. H. H. Genoways. (Boston: Springer US), 401-441.
- 491 Fronhofer, E. A., Legrand, D., Altermatt, F., Ansart, A., Blanchet, S., Bonte, D., Chaine, A., Dahirel,
- 492 M., Laender, F. D., Raedt, J. D., di Gesu, L., Jacob, S., Kaltz, O., Laurent, E., Little, C. J., Madec, L.,
- 493 Manzi, F., Masier, S., Pellerin, F., Pennekamp, F., Schtickzelle, N., Therry, L., Vong, A., Winandy,
- L., and Cote, J. (2018). Bottom-up and top-down control of dispersal across major organismal
- 495 groups. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 2, 1859–1863. doi: <u>10.1038/s41559-018-0686-0</u>.
- 496 Gaillard, J. M., Festa-Bianchet, M., Yoccoz, N. G., Loison, A., and Toïgo, C. (2000). Temporal
- 497 variation in fitness components and population dynamics of large herbivores. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.
- 498 31, 367-393. doi: <u>10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.367</u>.

- 499 Gerhart, K. L., White, R. G., Cameron, R. D., and Russell, D. E. (1996). Estimating fat content of 500 caribou from body condition scores. J. Wildl. Manag. 60, 713-718. doi: <u>10.2307/3802369</u>.
- 501 Greenwood, P. J. (1980). Mating systems, philopatry and dispersal in birds and mammals. Anim.
- 502 Behav. 28, 1140–1162. doi: <u>10.1016/s0003-3472(80)80103-5</u>.
- 503 Hamel, S., Côté, S. D., Gaillard, J.-M., and Festa-Bianchet, M. (2009). Individual variation in
- reproductive costs of reproduction: High-quality females always do better. J. Anim. Ecol. 78, 143– 151. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01459.x.
- 506 Harary, F. (1969). Graph theory. Addison-Wesley.
- 507 Hettinga, P. N., Arnason, A. N., Manseau, M., Cross, D., Whaley, K., and Wilson, P. (2012).
- 508 Estimating size and trend of the North Interlake woodland caribou population using fecal-DNA and 509 capture-recapture models. J. Wildl. Manag. 76, 1153–1164. doi: 10.1002/jwmg.380.
- 510 Hijmans, R. J. (2019). Geosphere: Spherical trigonometry. Available from: < https://cran.r-511 project.org/package=geosphere>.
- Hirotani, A. (1994). Dominance rank, copulatory behaviour and estimated reproductive success in
 male reindeer. Anim. Behav. 48, 929–936. doi: 10.1006/anbe.1994.1318.
- Hixon, M. A., and Johnson, D. W. (2009). "Density dependence and independence" in Encyclopedia
 of Life Sciences (ELS). doi: <u>10.1002/9780470015902.a0021219</u>.
- 516 Jones, O. R., and Wang, J. (2010). COLONY: A program for parentage and sibship inference from 517 multilocus genotype data. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 10, 551–555. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02787.x</u>.
- 518 Jones, T. B., and Manseau, M. (2022). Genetic networks in ecology: A guide to population,
- relatedness, and pedigree networks and their applications in conservation biology. Biol. Cons. 267,
 109466. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2022.109466
- Latora, V., and Marchiori, M. (2001). Efficient behavior of small-world networks. Phys. Rev. Lett.
 87, 198701. doi: 10.1103/physrevlett.87.198701.
- Leblond, M., Dussault, C., and Ouellet, J.-P. (2013). Avoidance of roads by large herbivores and its relation to disturbance intensity. J. Zool. 289, 32-40. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1469-7998.2012.00959.x</u>.
- Leturque, H., and Rousset, F. (2003). Joint evolution of sex ratio and dispersal: Conditions for higher dispersal rates from good habitats. Evol. Ecol. 17, 67–84. doi: <u>10.1023/a:1022405415375</u>.
- 527 Łomnicki, A. (1980). Regulation of population density due to individual differences and patchy
 528 environment. Oikos 35, 185–193. doi: 10.2307/3544426.
- 529 Manly, B. F. J., McDonald, L. L., Thomas, D. L., McDonald, T. L., and Erickson, W. P. (2002).
- Resource selection by animals: Statistical analysis and design for field studies. Dordrecht, theNetherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- 532 Marjamäki, P. H., Contasti, A. L., Coulson, T. N., and McLoughlin, P. D. (2013). Local density and
- 533 group size interacts with age and sex to determine direction and rate of social dispersal in a
- 534 polygynous mammal. Ecol. Evol. 3, 3073–3082. doi:<u>10.1002/ece3.694</u>.

- 535 Marshall, I. B., Schut, P. H., and Ballard, M. Data from: A national ecological framework for Canada
- 536 (1999). Agriculture; Agri-Food Canada, Research Branch, Centre for Land; Biological Resources
- 537 Research; Environment Canada, State of the Environment Directorate, Ecozone Analysis Branch,
- 538 Ottawa/Hull. Available from <<u>https://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/3ef8e8a9-8d05-4fea-a8bf-</u>
- 539 <u>7f5023d2b6e1</u>>.
- 540 Matthysen, E. (2005). Density-dependent dispersal in birds and mammals. Ecography 28, 403–416. 541 doi: 10.1111/j.0906-7590.2005.04073.x.
- McCullough, D. R. (1999). Density dependence and life-history strategies of ungulates. J. Mammal.
 80, 1130-1146. doi: <u>10.2307/1383164</u>.
- 544 McFarlane, S., Manseau, M., Flasko, A., Horn, R. L., Arnason, N., Neufeld, L., Bradley, M., and
- 545 Wilson, P. (2018). Genetic influences on male and female variance in reproductive success and 546 implications for the recovery of severely endangered mountain caribou. Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 16,
- 547 e00451. doi: 10.1016/j.gecco.2018.e00451.
- 548 McFarlane, S., Manseau, M., and Wilson, P. J. (2021). Spatial familial networks to infer
- 549 demographic structure of wild populations. Ecol. Evol. 11, 4507-4519. doi: <u>10.1002/ece3.7345</u>.
- 550 McLoughlin, P. D., Boyce, M. S., Coulson, T., and Clutton-Brock, T. H. (2006). Lifetime
- reproductive success and density-dependent, multi-variable resource selection. Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol.
 Sci. 273, 1449–1454. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2006.3486.
- 553 Moore, J. A., Draheim, H. M., Etter, D., Winterstein, S., and Scribner, K. T. (2014). Application of
- 554 large-scale parentage analysis for investigating natal dispersal in highly vagile vertebrates: A case
- study of American black bears (Ursus americanus). PLoS ONE 9, e91168. doi:
- 556 <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0091168</u>.
- Morris, D. W. (2003). Toward an ecological synthesis: A case for habitat selection. Oecologia 136,
 1–13. doi: 10.1007/s00442-003-1241-4.
- Nathan, R. (2005). Long-distance dispersal research: Building a network of yellow brick roads.
 Divers. Distrib. 11, 125–130. doi: 10.1111/j.1366-9516.2005.00159.x.
- 561 Natural Resources Canada. Data from: 2015 land cover of Canada. Natural Resources Canada.
- 562 (2020). Available from <<u>https://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/4e615eae-b90c-420b-adee-</u>
 563 2ca35896caf6>.
- 564 Newton, I. (1989). Lifetime Reproduction in Birds. London: London Academic Press.
- Norman, A. J., and Spong, G. (2015). Single nucleotide polymorphism-based dispersal estimates
 using noninvasive sampling. Ecol. Evol. 5, 3056–3065. doi: <u>10.1002/ece3.1588</u>.
- Pinard, V., Dussault, C., Ouellet, J.-P., Fortin, D., and Courtois, R. (2012). Calving rate, calf survival
 rate, and habitat selection of forest-dwelling caribou in a highly managed landscape. J. Wildl. Manag.
 76, 189–199. doi: 10.1002/jwmg.217.
- 570 Poethke, H. J., and Hovestadt, T. (2002). Evolution of density- and patch-size-dependent dispersal 571 rates. Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 269, 637–645. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2001.1936.

- 572 Polfus, J. L., Hebblewhite, M., and Heinemeyer, K. (2011). Identifying indirect habitat loss and
- avoidance of human infrastructure by northern mountain woodland caribou. Biol. Conserv. 144,
 2637–2646. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2011.07.023.
- Priadka, P., Manseau, M., Trottier, T., Hervieux, D., Galpern, P., McLoughlin, P. D., and Wilson, P.
 J. (2019). Partitioning drivers of spatial genetic variation for a continuously distributed population of
 boreal caribou: Implications for management unit delineation. Ecol. Evol. 9, 141–153. doi:
- 578 10.1002/ece3.4682.
 - R Core Team. (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
 - 581 Røed, K. H., Holand, Ø, Smith, M. E., Gjøstein, H., Kumpula, J., and Nieminen, M. (2002).
 - 582 Reproductive success in reindeer males in a herd with varying sex ratio. Mol. Ecol. 11, 1239–1243.
 583 doi: <u>10.1046/j.1365-294x.2002.01509.x</u>.
 - 584 Rudolph, T.D., Drapeau, P., Imbeau, L., Brodeur, V., Legare, S., and St-Laurent, M.-H. (2017).
 - 585 Demographic responses of boreal caribou to cumulative disturbances highlight elasticity of range-
 - 586 specific tolerance thresholds. Biodivers. Conserv. 26, 1179-1198. doi: <u>10.1007/s10531-017-1292-1</u>.
 - 587 Sæther, B.-E., and Engen, S. (2015). The concept of fitness in fluctuating environments. Trends Ecol.
 588 Evol. 30, 273–281. doi: <u>10.1016/j.tree.2015.03.007</u>.
 - 589 Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment. (2019). Range plan for woodland caribou in Saskatchewan:
 - Boreal plain ecozone, SK2 Central Caribou Administrative Unit. Saskatchewan Ministry ofEnvironment.
 - Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., Amin, N., Schwikowski,
 B., and Ideker, T. (2003). Cytoscape: A software environment for integrated models of biomolecular
 - 594 interaction networks. Genome Res. 13, 2498–2504. doi: <u>10.1101/gr.1239303</u>.
 - Shizuka, D. and Johnson, A. E. J. (2020). How demographic processes shape animal social networks.
 Behav. Ecol. 31, 1-11. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arz083.
 - 597 SKCDC. Data from: Species at risk in Saskatchewan. Saskatchewan Conservation Data Centre
 - (SKCDC), Fish, Wildlife; Lands Branch, Saskatchewan Ministry of the Environment, Regina, SK.
 (2020). http://biodiversity.sk.ca
 - 600 Smith, A. L., Landguth, E. L., Bull, C. M., Banks, S. C., Gardner, M. G., and Driscoll, D. A. (2016).
 - 601 Dispersal responses override density effects on genetic diversity during post-disturbance succession.
 - 602 Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 283, 20152934. doi:<u>10.1098/rspb.2015.2934</u>.
 - 603 Stewart, K. M., Bowyer, R. T., Dick, B. L., Johnson, B. K., and Kie, J. G. (2005). Density-dependent
 - 604 effects on physical condition and reproduction in North American elk: an experimental test.
 605 Oecologia 143, 85-93. doi: 10.1007/s00442-004-1785-y.
 - 606 Stuart-Smith, A. K., Bradshaw, C. J. A., Boutin, S., Hebert, D. M., and Rippin, A. B. (1997).
 - Woodland caribou relative to landscape patterns in northeastern Alberta. J. Wildl. Manag. 61, 622–
 608 633.

- Thomas, D. C., Barry, S. J., and Kiliaan, H. P. (1989). Fetal sex ratios in caribou: Maternal age and
 condition effects. J. Wildl. Manag. 53, 885-890. doi:10.2307/3809582.
- 611 Tischendorf, L., Grez, A., Zaviezo, T., and Fahrig, L. (2005). Mechanisms affecting population
- 612 density in fragmented habitat. Ecology and Society 10, 7.
- Toïgo, C., Gaillard, J.-M., Gauthier, D., Girard, I., Martinot, J.-P., and Michallet, J. (2002). Female
- reproductive success and costs in an alpine capital breeder under contrasting environments.
 Écoscience 9, 427–433. doi: 10.1080/11956860.2002.11682730.
- 616 Travis, J. M. J., Murrell, D. J., and Dytham, C. (1999). The evolution of density-dependent dispersal.
- 617 Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 266, 1837–1842. doi: <u>10.1098/rspb.1999.0854</u>.
- van Oort, H., McLellan, B. N., and Serrouya, R. (2011). Fragmentation, dispersal and metapopulation
 function in remnant populations of endangered mountain caribou. Anim. Conserv. 14, 215-224. doi:
 10.1111/j.1469-1795.2010.00423.x
- 621 VanderWaal, K. L., Mosser, A., and Packer, C. (2009). Optimal group size, dispersal decisions and
- 622 postdispersal relationships in female African lions. Anim. Behav. 77, 949–954. doi:
- 623 <u>10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.12.028</u>.
- Wang, J. L. (2004). Sibship reconstruction from genetic data with typing errors. Genetics 166, 1963–
 1979. doi: <u>10.1534/genetics.166.4.1963</u>.
- 626 Weladji, R. B., Gaillard, J. M., Yoccoz, N. G., Holand, O., Mysterud, A., Loison, A., Nieminen, M.,
- and Stenseth, N. C. (2006). Good reindeer mothers live longer and become better in raising offspring. From Proc. P. Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 273, 1239, 1244
- 628 Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 273, 1239–1244.
- 629 Weladji, R. B., Loison, A., Gaillard, J.-M., Holand, Ø, Mysterud, A., Yoccoz, N. G., Nieminen, M.,
- and Stenseth, N. C. (2008). Heterogeneity in individual quality overrides costs of reproduction in
- 631 female reindeer. Oecologia 156, 237–247. doi: <u>10.1007/s00442-008-0961-x</u>.
- Wolff, J. O. (1997). Population regulation in mammals: An evolutionary perspective. J. Anim. Ecol.
 66, 1-13. doi: 10.2307/5959.
- 634 8 Figure titles
- 635 **Figure 1.** The effects of variable spatial density on demographic parameters.
- 636 Figure 2. Predicted responses of the top density model showing the relationships between (A) good-
- 637 quality habitat and caribou density, (B) poor-quality habitat and caribou density and (C) distance to
- 638 linear features and caribou density.
- 639 **Figure 3.** Spatially-explicit boreal caribou density across the Saskatchewan Boreal Plains caribou
- 640 range. Boreal caribou density was associated with good- and poor-quality habitat and linear

- 641 disturbances. Densities are low overall and spatially clustered, greatest away from linear features and
- 642 poor-quality habitat.
- 643 **Figure 4.** Frequency of maximum dispersal distances between parents and offspring by parent sex
- 644 (a). Distribution of maximum dispersal distances versus density at the parent location by (b) parent
- 645 sex and (c) offspring sex.

646 **9 Tables**

647 **Table 1.** Sampling data

Survey Area	Survey Year	Number of Samples Collected	Number of Samples Successfully Scored	Number of Unique Genotypes	Genotyping Success (%)
Fin Flon	2014	336	320	119	95.2
La Ronge	2013 & 2015	497	403	140	81.1
SK Boreal Plains West	2016	242	233	117	96.3
SK Central	2017-2019	1,184	1,030	214	87
SK East	2020	159	152	63	95.6
SK West	2020	198	193	109	97.5
Total	-	2,616	2,331	762	-

648

- 649 **Table 2.** Summary of spatial capture-recapture data used in the multi-spatial session model for
- estimating density.

	SK Central		SK East			SK West				
Within-year occasions	1	2	Total	1	2	Total	1	2	Total	Grand Total
Number of individuals detected on each occasion	69	78	147	47	26	73	62	53	115	335
Number of individuals detected for the first time on each occasion	69	36	105	47	19	66	62	49	111	282
Number of individuals detected exactly f times	63	42	105	59	7	66	107	4	111	282

Cumulative number of individuals detected	69	105	105	47	66	66	62	111	111	282
Number of detections (including within-occasion 'recaptures')	89	113	202	52	26	78	68	56	124	404
Traps visited (number of detectors at which at least one detection was recorded	21	26	47	13	6	19	19	11	30	96

651

- 652 **Table 3.** Generalized linear model results for effect of density on several demographic parameters. P-
- values for centrality measures are obtained from permutations. *** indicates significant model

content of the formatter of the formatte

Parameter	Females	estimate	p-value	Males	estimate	p-value
Alpha centrality	None	-0.0003	0.51	None	0.0003	0.260
Closeness centrality	Negative	-0.0018	0.0007***	Negative trend	-0.00093	0.097
Degree centrality	Negative	-0.00044	0.034***	None	0.00036	0.790
Dispersal (parent sex)	Negative trend	-211.28	0.068	None	-221.24	0.230
Dispersal (offspring sex)	None	-112.11	0.47	Negative	-307.62	0.009***
Recruitment	Negative	-0.012	0.043***	Positive	0.019	0.038***
Pregnancy	None	-0.0024	0.69	-	-	-

655 **10 Funding**

Government of Saskatchewan; Environment and Climate Change Canada; NSERC Collaborative
 Research & Development; Saskatchewan Power, Weyerhaeuser.

658 11 Data availability statement

- The datasets generated and analyzed for this study can be found in the FigShare repository (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.19905142).
- (https://doi.org/10.0004/http://gshare.1990.

661 12 Supplementary Material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [LINK].













Figure 4.JPEG

